The Linacre Quarterly

Volume 36 Number 3 Article 19

August 1969

Abortion: Part XIII

Paul V. Harrington

Follow this and additional works at: http://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq

Recommended Citation

 $\label{lem:harrington} Harrington, Paul V. (1969) "Abortion: Part XIII," \textit{The Linacre Quarterly}: Vol. 36: No. 3, Article 19. Available at: http://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq/vol36/iss3/19$



Abortion - - Part XIII

Rt. Rev. Msgr. Paul V. Harrington, P.A., J.C.L.

b) EUROPE: 1

In studying the problem of abortion in Europe, one finds that the continent may be divided into three parts or sections, depending upon the type of legislation that is in effect. In southern and western Europe, the laws, permitting abortion, are conservative; in northern Europe, they are liberal; in eastern Europe, they are very liberal: in some places, abortion is allowed on request.

1) Southern and Western Europe:

This section would comprise about one-half of the countries of Europe and would include about 300 million people. In general, the laws of these countries prohibit abortion but an interruption of pregnancy for medical reasons is allowed either by an exception, written into the statue, or by judicial decree or legal interpretation. Therapeutic abortion is done reluctantly in these countries.

a) Germany:

In Germany, the Penal Code of 1871 (section 218) prohibited all abortions without any exception. In 1935, the Law for the Prevention of Offspring Suffering from Hereditary Disease was

enacted and section 14 of 1 5 law provided for abortion on indications and this exception continues in force in all but two states of the Federal Repub though the provision for about though the provision for about though the provision for about the repealed. In those two abortion to save the life of the is allowed under a general period to perform otherwise forbidding acts provided they are done to see guard "life and limb".

A new Federal Penal Code being drafted and paragraph 157 efines interruption of pregnancy and an abortion for medical indicat as.

In the German Democratic R (East Germany), section 218 if the Penal Code of 1871 was replacted allowed a legal abortion for eugenic and humanitarian reason omic indications. In 1950, the the Protection of Mother and was enacted and this allows for abortion only on medical and indications.

In the Federal Republic (West Germany), all requests for the peutic abortions must be submitted to a Chamber of Physicians. This body will grant the permission only after receiving the written reports submitted

by an obstetrician/gynecologist and one other medical expert who is a specialist in the field of medicine concerned with the particular indication at hand. These medical experts are appointed by the Chamber of Physicians. In the Democratic Republic, the requests must be submitted to a Commission, which consults with physicians, social service representatives and members of the Union of German Women.

In the years immediately following the Second World War, there was a marked increase in the number of abortions both in East and West Germany — many being done because of alleged rape. However, many obstetricians, writing in the German medical journals, decried this increase and begged doctors to limit abortions to medical indications.

In 1950, in West Germany, there were 9,500 therapeutic abortions or about 12 per 1,000 live births. This steadily decreased until 1959, when the total was 3,100 or 3.3 per 1,000 births. From 1959 to the present, the incidence and number of abortions have stabilized and have remained constant.

In East Germany, the number of authorized abortions in the period 1959 to 1962 was bout 800 or 2.7 per 1,000 live births and remains about the same to this day.

b) France:

In France, the Code of Public Health, which came into force on May 11, 1955, regulates abortion. It stipulates that a doctor can perform an abortion only if the life of the mother is seriously threatened and then only after consulting with two other doctors, one of whom must be a

medical expert who is affiliated with the civil courts. The role of the doctors is to confirm that a serious complication in the pregnancy exists and this can be resolved with protection to the life of the mother only by interrupting the pregnancy. A copy of the authorization must be sent to the Council of Physicians.

Because the law insists that there must be a danger to the mother's life before an abortion can be allowed, it is understandable that the complication must be a medical one — not eugenic or psychiatric — and it is not surprising that pulmonary tuberculosis was the involvement in one-half of the reported abortions and cardiovascular-renal disorders accounted for one-quarter of the interruptions. Only three pregnancies were interrupted for psychiatric reasons.

There are no current official statistics for abortions in France. In the city of Paris, there were 132 cases reported in a three year period in the mid 1950's. This amounted to 0.5 abortions per 1000 live births. If this ratio figure were to be multiplied in accordance with the live birth population throughout the entire country of France, there would be a grand total of about 400 abortions.

c) England and Scotland:

In England, abortions were controlled by the Offences against the Person Act, which was passed in 1861. This act did not define what an unlawful abortion was but stipulated that unlawful abortion was a felony that was punishable by life imprisonment. There was no exception in the law for a therapeutically induced abortion.

However, in 1938, Doctor Bourne aborted a young girl who was allegedly raped and became pregnant, pre-

Msgr. Harrington is Vice-Officialis, the Archdiocese of Boston.

sumably by reason of the rape. The doctor was charged with the commission of a felony and Justice Macnaghten, in the famous case of Rex vs. Bourne, was of the opinion that, in accordance with the Infant Life Act of 1929, an abortion need not be unlawful if performed in good faith in order to protect and save the life of the mother. Thus, the Judge held that "if the doctor is of the opinion that the probable consequences . . . will be to make the woman a physical and mental wreck, the jury is quite entitled to take the view that the doctor . . . is operating for the purpose of preserving the life of the mother."

Doctor Bourne was found "not guilty" of the charge and a legal precedent was established for abortions in England.

Despite this precedent, the British medical profession remained very conservative both in its position on abortion and in the performance of them. In 1958, in the National Service Hospitals, about 1600 interruptions of pregnancy occurred. In 1964, this number increased to about 3,300 cases which represented a ratio of 3.8 per 1,000 live births.

However, in private nursing homes and in the offices of gynecologists or surgeons in and around London, it is estimated that 10,000 illegal abortions are performed.

Just in passing, one might mention the status of abortion in Aberdeen, Scotland. Because of the very liberal views concerning the interruption of pregnancy of a certain Sir Dugald Baird at the University of Aberdeen and the impact his position has had on the citizens of that area, the ratio of abortion to live births is 20 per 1,000. The parliamentary fight for a prtion reform began in England in July, 1966, with the introduction is a bill by a young liberal member liament, Mr. David Steel. I would have allowed the interpolation of pregnancy in four instances:

- 1) when the continuance pregnancy would involve serious risk to the life or grave injury physical or mental health pregnant woman either be re or after the birth of the child;
- 2) when there is substant risk that if the child were born is suffer from such physical or abnormalities as to be seriously andicapped;
- 3) when the pregnant we han's capacity as a mother would be seerely overstrained by the care of the cold of another child as the case may
- 4) when the pregnant woman was a defective, became pregnant while under the age of 16 or as the realt of rape.

When this bill first came before the House of Commons, it was pass to the by a vote of 223 to 29. This majorit as a distinct surprise to those who were opposed to easy abort in in England and the opposition with the work to salvage what they could by amending the original bill.

Because the number of Catho cs in England is not large and because there was a mood for some reform and some modification of the existing ab rtion statutes, it was decided, by those opposing easy abortion, that their most effective strategy would be not to launch a total opposition of all reform but to adopt a moderate position whereby they might hope for a final bill that was well drafted and limited in scope.

Norman St. John-Stevas, a Catholic and conservative member of Parliament, led the opposition both inside and outside the Parliament.

Outside the Parliament, he was able, through his weekly column in the Catholic Herald, to alert the Catholic population as to the issue its gravity and to bring them together to form an opposing force. Individuals, who were against easy abortion, formed a society for the Protection of Unborn Children. This was an organization of Catholics and non-Catholics but no member of the executive committee was a Catholic.

The medical societies and the British Medical Association and the Royal College of Gynecologists demanded modifications of the bill as it was proposed.

Public debates and meetings were held by the opponents to the Steel bill. As a result, a petition against the bill was drafted, which demanded that a royal commission be established to investigate all facts and conditions concerning the present abortion situation and this petition attracted the signatures of 500,000 people. This was ultimately presented to the Prime Minister.

Within the Parliament, Norman St. John-Stevas organized a non-partisan group of Catholics and non-Catholics who vigorously opposed the original bill and worked for its amendment.

During the parliamentary debate, David Steel and his co-sponsors withdrew from consideration the fourth category for the allowance of abortion. It is interesting that the section permitting an abortion for rape was removed because, from the point of view of propaganda value and emotional response, this is *the* glamor issue. It was withdrawn for a very specific reason — "rape is so easy to allege, so difficult to prove, and so outside the competence of the average doctor to determine that the provision concerning it would be practically unworkable."

After the debate had been completed, the bill, as approved and adopted, recognized abortion as a crime but allowed it under certain specified and determined conditions. This fact is important — that abortion is basically considered to be a crime—because there is a substantial recognition of respect for the sanctity of life.

The first two categories were accepted as presented except that the words "serious" and "grave" were eliminated from the first category. In this final form, they are virtually identical to the provisions of the Modern Penal Code, presented by the American Law Institute.

The third category - relating to the pregnant woman's capacity as a mother and the element of overstrain by the caring for this new child - was referred to as the "social clause" and most of the debate and opposition was centered about it. The proponents considered this to be the most important and essential provision for the liberalizing of the current abortion laws, since it would constitute the basis in law and provide the right for every woman to demand abortion on request. There was nothing medical or health-relating about this provision and thus it became the target of the various medical societies.

The social clause underwent two modifications. At first, the reference to the strain on the maternal capacity was removed and a substitution was made allowing abortion when there

was risk to the "future well-being of herself and of the child or her other children." Secondly, another clause was added whereby in determining whether or not there is risk to health or well-being "account may be taken of the patient's total environment, actual or reasonably forseeable."

Ultimately, the word "well-being" was struck because this referred primarily to social provisions and not to health situations. In the final form, this category provided for abortion when there was risk of injury "to the physical or mental health of the pregnant woman or any existing children of her family greater than if the pregnancy were terminated." In this form, the stress and the emphasis was on the health issues and thus became acceptable to the medical societies who vigorously opposed the allowance of abortion for non-medical reasons.

Further safeguards were also written into the bill that was ultimately adopted: the opinion of two registered medical practitioners is required for the verification of the necessary conditions; the Minister of Health is to be notified by the doctors of each and every abortion; abortions can only be performed in National Health Service Hospitals or other places approved by the Ministry of Health; a conscience clause was inserted to protect from legal action any doctor who, by reason of conscientious objection, refuses to perform an abortion.

The English experience clearly demonstrates that even when proponents of easy abortion or abortion on request or demand attempt to legislate their preferences, their efforts can be seriously restricted or limited by the amending process. It also points out that most cultured and civilized people - Catholic and non-Catholic -

have a basic respect for the sar tv of human life and do not wish to e this respect violated by allowing rtion for elusive social and personal asons and that these forces can be m ilized into very effective opposition ultraliberal proposals.

Norman St. John Stevas narks that "the English debate sho folly of rushing into legislatio out adequate investigation of t facts and discussion of the issues. It came clear after the abortion bill ha been introduced that no one had liable information about the ir lence of illegal abortion and that the tatistics about legal abortion we inadequate. Many estimates offered, in the press and elsew re, of the number of illegal abortions aking place each year; the most pular figures ranging between 50,00 100,000, but on examination these turned out to be nothing bett guesses. Newspapers constant the figure of 100,000 but the merely reproducing each othe s estimates. The source of this appears to have been propagane published by the Abortion Law I form Association.... The Frequen Illegal Abortion' . . . suggeste that the figure was much more likel to be 10,000 a year."

This is so reminiscent of our | esent experience in the United State The figures for illegal abortions our country each year vary from 20 1,000 to 2,000,000. The very fact that there is such a wide variance is proof that we have absolutely no reliable knowledge as to the frequency of illegal abortion and we should not attempt, by beralizing the existing laws, to so ve a problem, the extent and scope of which, we do not know.

Also, it is interesting to not that the proponents of liberal abortion

laws, in their propaganda, always mention the 2,000,000 figure - they never make reference to the 200,000

Another interesting observation of Norman St. John-Stevas has reference to the effectiveness of liberal abortion laws in decreasing the number of illegal abortions: "Even less work had been done to quantify the likely effect on the number of legal and illegal abortions of the passage of the bill. This is all the more surprising since it was one of the principal contentions of the supporters of the bill that it would reduce the number of illegal abortions. Such evidence as there is indicates that no such effect is brought about by reforming statutes that attempt to regulate abortion rather than liberate it from the law. Legal and illegal rates tend to rise together as abortion becomes an accepted practice in the community. Mothers who find they do not come within the terms of the statute turn once again to the illegal abortionist. The only way to abolish illegal abortions is to remove legal sanctions all together."

We in the United States should take this warning very seriously because we are told by the proponents of liberal abortion laws that a change in legislation will eliminate both the illegal abortion and the professional illegal abortionist. Yet, in the statute suggested by the Modern Penal Code, which would allow abortion when the mother's health or life is threatened, when there is danger that the child might be born defective or handicapped or when the pregnancy resulted from rape, only 15 percent of abortions would be legalized; the remaining 85 percent would continue to be illegal.

More liberal laws just will not put the criminal abortionist out of business and just will not eliminate illegal abortions.

The new English bill has been in effect only since May, 1968, but an article, written one month after the effective date of the bill, indicates that "already there has been an appreciable increase in the demand for abortions." This article expresses the fear that the number of illegal abortions will be substantially increased because of three conditions: the lack of sufficient beds in the National Service Hospitals and clinics; the limitations placed by the bill on the conditions for which abortion will be allowed; and, finally, the unwillingness of women to have their names registered in the Ministry of Health as having submitted to an abortion.2

It has been reported that, up to the present, about 40% of the abortions have been performed in private nursing homes with the evident result that the profiteering abortionist, who was supposed to be put out of business by a liberalizing of the law, is very much "in business".

The Observer, England's national Sunday paper, notes that abortion is virtually available on demand and that London is fast becoming the abortion mecca of the West. The newspaper points out: "London not only has more legal abortionists at work than any other Western city but many of them who are in private practice are actively looking for business."

There has been a twelve-fold increase in abortions in Landsdowne Hospital, Cardiff, Wales, under the new law.3

also

were

and

than

were

Time Magazine of March 7, 1969, evaluating the relaxed British law, states: "....it has swamped physicians and produced some socially divisive results. It has also turned London into the abortion capital of the Western world," Mention is made in this article that 10,000 legal abortions, under the Bourne decision, were performed prior to the liberalization of the law in 1968." In the first eight months under the new law there were 22,256, and it is expected that the total for the first twelve months will go to at least 35,000, possibly to 50,000." This obviously represents a triple or even a five-fold increase in legal abortions in just one year. Gynecologists are particularly unhappy about the present situation because there is an already existing shortage of hospital beds, too many are being used for abortions and there are not adequate facilities for the legitimate gynecological problems. "They (the gynecologists) find themselves spending half their office hours passing judgment on patients seeking abortions and half their operating-room time performing them. This, say some gynecologists, is not the type of practice that they chose or for which they were trained."

In summarizing the situation after eight months, Time magazine concludes: "At bottom, what is most distressing to the protesting doctors is the fact that some of their colleagues are making a lot of money out of abortions in London's private Harley Street hospitals and suburban nursing homes."

Mrs. Jill Knight, a Member of Parliament in England, and a staunch opponent of abortion and abortionlaw reform, gave some very interesting and useful factual insights into the present situation in England, Speaking at the Fourth International symposium on Life, Rhythn and Abortion, held in Chicago in pril. 1969, she stated that the new win Britain is so broad and so pen ssive that almost any woman who we is an abortion could qualify under other of the available categories

the

ious

not

ad-

of

the

She declared that most doctors in England are conscimen, who consider very serious having taken the Hippocratic ath. promising to protect life, and about to become involved with abortions. It is difficult in place Birmingham and Liverpool to nd a doctor who will agree to abortion. Thus, abortoria hav peen established in London and the staffed by commercial abort each of whom perform up to nirty abortions a day for a standard e of 150 pound sterling (about 375 American dollars) - payable vance. It is obvious that, in th context and with this arrangemen poor do not have much char to secure an abortion. Yet, the opaganda, favoring liberalization current abortion statutes, always efers to the discrimination in favor rich and against the poor nder present law and the equality of portunity for abortion for the which will result from a change in the

With shame and personal embarrassment, Mrs. Knight classifi 1 her native country and its Capital City, London, as the "Abortion mi ' of Europe with daily trains from the suburbs bringing women into Ladon "for a day of shopping" and returning them to their homes that same evening. She also referred to the chartered planes that bring women from Paris and Hamburg in search of an easy abortion.

The Member of the English Parliament spoke of the open and very visible advertising in magazines throughout Europe and in transit ads in England of where to obtain an abortion in London and how to arrange for it.

The abortionist never sees his "patient" until he prepares to terminate her pregnancy. He knows nothing of her past medical history or her history of previous pregnancies, if any. He takes his fee first - in the early days, while a girl was supposed to be resting after the abortion, she left without presenting the usual stipend. The "doctor" never sees the patient after he has destroyed the life and, therefore, never knows how the patient recuperates - whether she lives or whether she dies - what complications she may experience or what the morbidity will be. The experience of less than a year has manifested the usual and now-to-be-expected complications - medical problems, premature labor, cases of an incompetent cervix and sterility.

This report on the English experience also mentioned that, in the short span of eight months, there have been cases of young girls - aged 14 and 15 years - who have been aborted more than once.

Mrs. Knight provided two important observations: first, because of the existence of a liberal law, women feel that they have a "right" to have an abortion and they consider that they also have the right to sue a doctor who refuses an abortion. Recall, there is no conscience clause in the English law, which exempts a doctor from performing an abortion for the ordinary and usual reasons. Thus, if he is sued, he is presumed guilty until he proves his innocence. This certainly is con-

trary to the ordinary presumption of innocence until guilt is established. Secondly, she noted that, in a recent meeting of the Royal Academy of Nursing, there was a report that the morale of the student nurse is being shattered by the prospect of facing abortions in the operating theatre.

By reason of the performance of the new and liberal abortion bill in England during the first eight months and because of the present climate, she appealed to all of her audience to become interested in the problem, to become involved in the effort to oppose current bills that seek to liberalize the abortion statutes in the several states, to become organized so that the shameful mistake and tragic plight of England will not be repeated in the United States.

2) Northern Europe:

As mentioned previously, the laws and the abortion practice in Northern Europe are more liberal than Southern and Western Europe but more conservative than Eastern Europe.

a) Sweden:

In Sweden, a law was passed in 1734 which made induced abortion a capital crime. In 1890, the penalty was reduced to two years at hard labor and in 1921, the penalty was again lessened and therapeutic abortion was acceptable under the law. In 1938, an entirely new law was written which recognized sociomedical, humanitarian and eugenic reasons as permitting abortion. This new legislation was further liberalized in 1946 and in 1963 to include as a reason the likelihood of forseeable maternal weaknesses or the strain of giving birth and caring for the new baby.

The present statues permit an interruption of pregnancy:

1.00

- 1) when, because of illness, physical defect or weakness in the woman, the birth of the child would occasion a serious danger to her life or health;
- 2) when, out of consideration for the woman's living conditions and other circumstances, it may be assumed that her bodily or mental strength would be seriously impaired by the birth of the child and the care of the child;
- 3) when the woman has been made pregnant under conditions of rape or offences against decency and has involved a gross disregard of the woman's freedom of action;
- 4) when cause can be shown that the woman or the father of the expected child might transmit to the offspring insanity, feeblemindedness, a serious disease or other serious physical defect;
- 5) when cause can be shown that the expected child, because of injury, incurred during fetal life, might suffer from a serious disease or serious physical defect.

Very recently, the government of Sweden has appointed a commission of experts to study and to evaluate the abortion climate for purposes of recommending a further liberalization of the law with respect to abortion.

With a few minor variations, the above law for the country of Sweden is found also in Denmark, Finland and Norway.

In Sweden, the termination of pregnancy on grounds other than illness or physical defect in the woman may not be carried out after the twentieth week of pregnancy. The National Board of Health may, however, for special reasons permit the

operation to be performed up the end of the twenty-fourth week.

ission

S. a

physi-

ually

to be

Where an abortion is pe rmed because of the danger of tranof insanity, feebleminded serious disease or other seriou cal defect, the physician secures the woman's permissic sterilized.

It is estimated that 38 percent fthe women apply for and are all ed a second abortion. Not infrently. sterilization accompanies a cond abortion. In the period 1946 to 951. more than 25 percent of a abortions were accompanied by erilization and when it is consider with the double operation, the ity rate is four times greater that vhen abortion is done by itself, this pears to be a very drastic measure i order to avoid future interruption pregnancy.

In Sweden, about 85 percer of all legal abortions are authorized Royal Medical Board in Stoc tolm and the permission is given basis of a written report by a phician who has examined the woma. The remaining 15 percent are permit d on the authority of a certificate sig d by two physicians.

The number of legal aborties in Sweden increased from about 40 in 1938 to more than 6,300 in 19: and the ratio of abortions per 1,00 live births increased during this riod from 5 to 57. The number of legal abortions dropped to 2,800 in 960 but increased again by 1965 to 1 245, which represents a ratio of 51 legal abortions per 1,000 live birt. A preliminary report for the year 1966 indicates that the number of legal abortions has jumped to 7,700 an increase of one-fourth over the year 1965.

While Sweden may be regarded as having a fairly liberal law, there is a conscientious effort made to enforce the law and to insist that the requirements of the law for reasons and indications be met before permission for abortion is granted. In 1962, when 2,600 authorizations were granted, 39 percent of the petitions for interruption of pregnancy were rejected.

It is generally admitted that the illegal or criminal abortions have greatly increased along with the dramatic rise in legal abortions. The liberalization of the laws obviously has not terminated the illegal abortion but has merely increased the total number of all abortions performed each year. The liberalized law will allow some women to interrupt their pregnancies who would not resort to illegal operations.

With a liberal law, what prompts a woman to seek an illegal abortion? Many reasons are given: since the law is strictly enforced, the condition of some women would not come under the statutory provisions permitting abortion; some women have requested legal abortion but have been turned down; some women do not wish to be involved in the examination and procedural delays accompanying the request for authorization; some women do not wish to be identified and they feel that the procedure to obtain permission for a legal abortion invades their privacy.

It is probable that the liberalization of the laws in Sweden has created an "abortion mentality or mood". There is evidence of a sizeable number of Swedish women travelling to Poland to have an abortion which would not be permitted in Sweden. In this latter country, pregnancy is interrupted on request or demand - no reasons need be given; no requirements need be met. These women who go to Poland either have been refused in Sweden or just wish to escape the demands of the

It is of concern to note that in mid-1966, the police security chief of Stockholm became anxious about Swedish women who went on "package deal abortion holidays" to Poland because of the danger of blackmail by the Polish authorities, since the interruptions of pregnancy outside of, beyond and against the law are crimes in Sweden and this fact could be urged against the women and jeopardize their security and safety.4

Since the liberalization of the law does not extinguish illegal abortions and since the increased number of illegal abortions is equal to, if not greater than, the increased number of legal abortions, the real effect of liberalization, even on a moderate basis, is almost to bring into concrete actuality a program of abortion on request or demand. It is estimated that there are about 12,000 illegal abortions a year in Sweden. This is almost double the number of legal abortions in the year, 1965. If the legal and the illegal abortions are added together, the total of abortions of all types would be about 18,200, or a ratio of about 150 abortions per 1,000 live births.

b) Denmark:

The change in abortion laws in Denmark began in 1939 and new legislation was added in 1956.

Doctor Trolle reports on 566 abortions which were performed at the Rigs hospital in Copenhagen between 1942 and 1948. The age of the patients ranged from 14 to 49 years. More abortions took place in the earlier years of the survey as compared to the later period. As to the status of the patients, the survey reports that 62.4 percent were married; 27 percent were unmarried; 8.3 percent were divorcees and 2.3 percent were widows.

With reference to the indications for which the abortions were performed, Doctor Trolle states that 52.7 percent were for psychotic depressive states; 20.3 percent were for general medical indications; 13.8 percent were for medico-social indications; 10.8 percent were for eugenic indications and 2.4 percent were for ethical considerations.

For purposes of understanding this survey, definitions of conditions and what they comprised and included is important. General medical indications included psychoses other than psychotic depressive states, which was a category by itself, tuberculosis, cardiac disease and various obstetrical conditions, e.g., vesico-vaginal fistula and previous difficult labor. Medicosocial indications usually combined poor health and circumstances such as multiparity. Eugenic reasons usually referred to hereditary disease, RH incompatibility and rubella in pregnancy. Ethical considerations are present in cases of rape, incest and pregnancy under the age of 16 years. It is important to note that, in this category, there is embraced a pregnancy, resulting from an unwanted intercourse, and a pregnancy of a girl under 16 years of age which may have resulted from an intercourse in which the girl was a most willing and cooperative partner.

In this particular study, 48 percent of the abortions were performed before the third month; 35 percent were carried out before the end of the fourth month.

Sterilization accompanied ortion in 2.5 percent of the cases.

In 477 out of the 566 ca . the interruption of the pregnar was accomplished by dilating the ervix and emptying the uterus. In percent of these cases, complicat s did occur: fever, tearing of the ervix. hemorrhage, thrombosis and foration of the uterus. Hysterecto used where the interruption o urred later in pregnancy or where ilization accompanied the abortion

In addition to the usual cor lerations for abortion, as set forth 1 the legislation of the Scand wian countries, the law of Denmark | mits the interruption of pregnancy induction of an abortion is ne ssary to avert a serious danger to the fe or health of the woman. In or r to evaluate this danger, an appreation shall be made of all the circum nces of the case, including the contions under which the woman will he to live, and consideration shall be given not only to the physical or intal illness, but also to any acti I or potential state of physical or i ental infirmity."

The Pregnancy Act of 1956 ided the ground for abortion when the mother was judged unfit to take proper care of her child. It is is basically a social indication and considers the welfare of the child nore than that of the mother and is concerned with situations in which prospective mother is mentally retarded or mentally ill. This indication can be humiliating to the woman.

The Danish law provides that abortion be performed before the 6th week of pregnancy when the in-

dications of an ethical nature, or of hereditary and possible defect are presented. There is no time limit imposed by the law when medical or socimedical reasons are offered and, in urgent cases, abortion can be performed at any stage of pregnancy.

In Denmark, most abortions are performed because of medical indications and these include three separate categories of complications: the convention conflicts, where the pregnancy or the birth of the child would run counter to the customs of the social group to which the prospective mother belonged, e.g., illegitimacy in a married woman; the stress syndrome, which would refer to more or less chronic conditions existing before the pregnancy and would be commonly found among many married women who have several children and suffer because of marital problems or housing; the stress syndrome of housewives, which would include social, financial or housing problems, on the one hand, and a fear of reduction in the standard of living on the other hand.

If the abortion is to be performed because of a threat to the life or health of the mother because of a specific disease, no complicated procedure is necessary; the authorization can be given by the chief of service. But if some other indication or reason is offered, the matter must be presented for permission and authorization to the Mothers' Aid Institution. More than 20 of these committees are located throughout the country of Denmark and several are found in the capital City of Copenhagen. They consist of a psychiatrist, gynecologist and social worker, who conduct a thorough medical and social investigation and their vote must be unanimous before permission for abortion is given.

Vera Skalts and Magna Norgaard, officials of the Mothers' Aid Institution in Copenhagen, have stated that where an indication other than medical threat to the life and health of the mother is presented, the woman should receive information about alternate solutions to the existing problems beside abortion and attempts should be made to resolve the problems and avoid abortion. This is a more positive approach to the solution of personal and familiar problems than relying on the negative and destructive nature of abortion. Both of these officials have repeatedly stressed the undesirability of abortion as a solution to social problems and the necessity to try to persuade women not to submit to abortion. Practical help and constructive support should be provided to women who are carrying unwanted babies and these prospective mothers should be encouraged to carry their unborn children to term. They note "that a number of women in an originally unwanted pregnancy can be encouraged to change their minds and carry through their pregnancy; the Centers are convinced that a much greater number ought to be helped and could be helped if satisfactory means of help and support were available."

The Danish system attempts to interest the woman in delaying her decision to have an abortion and to reconsider her request in the light of counselling and the availability of social services that could assist her in solving the personal and familiar problems that make the present pregnancy unwanted.

Time to think and reconsider is important and necessary because the original decision to seek an abortion may have been made hastily, in panic or while in a depressed state. The depressions which are found in the early stages of pregnancy are usually not deep and if the petition for abortion is rejected or the counselling services are used, the panic and depression usually disappear. This is the opinion and conclusion of Doctor Henrik Hoffmeyer, Assistant Superintendent of the State Psychiatric Hospital in Copenhagen.

He notes also that suicidal threats or superficial suicidal acts are often merely connected with the appeal for a legal abortion, because the women, in whom suicide is a real danger, seldom see a doctor or consult the Mothers' Aid Institution.

Doctor Hoffmeyer seriously believes that legal restrictions and delays in handling applications and in authorizing abortions serve a very useful and valuable purpose because, on the one hand, "unlimited abortions or abortions for social reasons would expose some women to a danger that they could be subjected to pressure from the husband, the fiance, or other relatives" and, on the other hand, if women acted too hastily in seeking or having abortion, they could live to regret it.

In 1963-1964, two-thirds of the applicants for abortion were married; twenty-four percent were unmarried and ten percent were divorced, separated or widowed.

In 1939, there were about 500 legal abortions in Denmark. Between 1940 and 1956, there was a 700 percent increase, with 49 to 54 legal abortions

per 1,000 births. There were 5 10 in 1955 with an increase in the r 10 to 10 to 10 live 10 births. The number of legal ab 10 dropped to about 3,970 in 19 but 10 the trend has increased and in 1965, was 5,190 and the ratio was 60 ab 10 ions 10 per 1,000 live births.

As in Sweden, even with a mo rately liberal abortion law, illega tions have not disappeared; the not even declined and it is p able that they have increased. While abortions number about 5,00 per year in Denmark, it is estimate that the illegal abortions number pout 15,000 or higher. If this is true approximation there are three to es as many illegal abortions as the legal abortions and both catories combined indicate there are .000 abortions per year, which rep sent about 235 abortions per 1,00 births - a very significant loss The startling conclusion is th for every four pregnancies tha allowed to go to term and pr living children, approximately pregnancy is interrupted.

The Institute of Human Gene is at the University of Copenhagen naintains evidence of hereditary directs which can be used if a request is nade for an abortion on eugenic groun in the control of the con

In September, 1967, the Minis or of Justice, K. Axel Nielsen, appoined a committee to examine the hole problem of abortion and to investigate the possibilities of legal abortion on demand.

What is unique and interesting about the experience of Denmark is the recognition by the Mothers' Aid Institution that an abortion is a deplorable event and women, considering abortion as a solution to personal, family or social problems, should be counselled about alternate solutions and should be discouraged from having an abortion. This is a very positive and constructive approach to the unwanted pregnancy.

c) Finland:

A liberal abortion statute was passed in Finland in 1950 and allowed interruptions of pregnancy for medical, eugenic and humanitarian indications. These latter indications would refer to forced or statutory rape and incest. This law was similar to the law of Sweden and Denmark. With reference to eugenic considerations, the statute mentioned only the hereditary transmission of mental disease, mental deficiency and other severe illness or defect.

The procedure for obtaining an abortion in Finland is not complicated. The application must be approved by two physicians — one of whom is to be a gynecologist or a surgeon on the permanent staff of a hospital and the second is to be drawn from the list of medical specialists, which is established by the State Medical Board.

In Finland, the interruption of the pregnancy must occur before the end of the fourth month.

In 1951, there were 3,000 legal abortions, which represented a ratio of 32 interruptions per 1,000 live births. This increased in number to 6,200 in 1960 or a ratio of 75 abortions per 1,000 live births. This number and ratio reflects more than a doubling of legal abortions in a period of ten years. The number of legal abortions declined to about 4,800 in 1965, which would be 61 abortions per 1,000 live births.

There is no estimate of the number of illegal abortions in Finland.

d) Norway:

Norway legislated with reference to abortion in 1960 and this statute merely recognized as the law what had become the accepted medical practice over a long period of time without legal approbation. In 1899, the Department of Justic of Norway indicated that abortions, performed by physicians on medical indications, would not be challenged as illegal. Since the mid 1930's, eugenic considerations have been considered by the doctors as the equivalent of medical indications and, therefore, sufficient grounds for interrupting the pregnancy.

In Norway, under the new statute, a termination of a pregnancy is allowed in order to avert "a serious danger to the woman's life or health. In the evaluation of the danger, any special disposition of the woman for physical or mental illness shall be taken into account as well as her living conditions and other circumstances which can make her ill or result in damage to her physical or mental health."

The Norwegian statute of 1960 extended the understanding of eugenic indication so as to include damage or disease acquired during intrauterine life. This is a new concept since, in the other Scandinavian countries, eugenic reasons referred only to the transmission of hereditary mental defects, or other serious illnesses. These would be passed on, if at all, at the moment of conception or fertilization and would not, in any way, refer to contamination or involvement of the fetus during pregnancy.

Like the situation in Finland, authorization for abortion in Norway is given by two physicians. One of these must be a gynecologist or staff surgeon and the second is appointed by the county health officer and must be expert in psychiatry or social medicine.

In Norway, the pregnancy cannot be terminated beyond the end of the third month.

Surveys have determined that 2,000 abortions were performed in hospitals in 1949 and 3,200 in 1954. This latter figure represents a ratio of 50 abortions per 1,000 live births. Thus far, there are no statistics as to the number of abortions performed under the new statutes of 1960.

In summing up the abortion evidence in northern Europe, one can say that the greatest number of legal abortions are done because of medical indications and most of these are performed precisely for psychiatric reasons, which include the "exhausted" or "tired" mother syndrome. Few pregnancies are terminated for eugenic considerations and even less are done for humanitarian reasons.

The statutes with respect to abortion in the Scandinavian countries are moderately liberal, do not, at least in theory, grant abortion on demand or request and are strictly executed. In Sweden and Denmark, the number of illegal abortions is estimated to be larger than the number of legal abortions and the combination of both represent a very significant and tremendous loss of life. It is important to note that officials in Sweden and Denmark are seriously considering and are investigating the possibilities of adopting even more liberal laws, allowing abortion on request and demand.

The conclusion is inevitable from the experience of many countries that the original liberalization of abortio laws is only a temporary stop-gap a l, in time, will necessarily lead to even more liberal statutes and ultima y to abortion on request. It is foolha y, in face of the evidence, to thin that limited liberalization will sati / or suffice.

in

Doctor Christopher Tietz evaluating the goals and object s of the liberal statutes in the no tern European countries and their scess, declares: "One of the major go s of the liberalization of abortion by in Scandinavia was to reducincidence of illegal abortion. A ther objective was to reduce the otal number of abortions, legal and egal combined, by establishing earl contact with the pregnant wome and making available to them a road range of social services. It is do otful whether the first of these two iectives has been achieved in any countries concerned and it is ev less likely, a fortiori, that the secon goal has been realized. Whether the peral abortion laws have actually cor ributed to an 'abortion mentality' ha been a much debated question."

Those in the United States, wo are interested in liberalizing the ab tion laws in this country, should pect that the results would be similar of the known experience of the Scandi wian countries: that the numbers of legal abortions would greatly increase that there would be a very sign cant number of illegal abortions; the the total number of all abortions - legal and illegal - would represent tremendous loss of life; that illegal abortions and criminal abortionists will not disappear merely because ther has been a broadening of the law.

In the Northern European countries, he legal abortion rate varies from hree percent to seven percent, i.e., from 3 abortions per 100 live births to abortions per 100 live births.

One final reflection is that the death ate in the Scandinavian countries for abortions, performed in hospitals, is rather high: one maternal death in every 2,500 abortions. Another source mentions that, in Sweden and Denmark, the ratio of maternal deaths to abortions is 60 per 1,000 or one maternal death in each 1,666 performed abortions. The reasons for this high rate appear to be: that these countries allow abortions to be performed later in pregnancy; they will abort women who are not in good health; the procedure is generally done under anaesthesia and this accounts for many deaths.

3) Eastern European Countries:

As mentioned previously, the most liberal abortion laws and policies in the world are to be found in the countries of Eastern Europe: the Soviet Union and the Soviet satellite states, all under the influence of the principles and ideologies of Marxist Communism.

a) The Soviet Union:

From 1919 up to the present, the official policy of the Soviet Union has changed rather dramatically on three separate occasions - beginning with a completely liberal program and changing to a policy, which forbade any abortions except for medical reasons and returning once again to a position of abortion on request.

On November 8, 1920, by reason of a joint decree of the Commissariats of Health and Justice, the Soviet Union

became the first major world power to allow abortion at the request of the pregnant woman. This was supposedly introduced by the government as an indication of its desire to emancipate women, to give them equal rights, among which was the right not to give birth to an unwanted child. The preamble to the Soviet decree states the purpose: "The limitation of the harm caused to the health of women by abortions carried out outside of hospitals" and "to give women the possibility of deciding by themselves the question of motherhood."

Also, there is evidence of a wish to eliminate illegal abortions and to keep families small so that the mother, unencumbered by too many domestic responsibilities, would be available to join the labor market as the country began a tremendous industrialization program.

There is indication that the numbers of legal abortions had a fourfold increase from 1920 to 1925 and from 1925 to 1935 increased ten times as compared to the previous period.

These abortions were performed free of charge at government hospitals called abortoria and were described as being done on an assembly-line basis at eight minute intervals; or another description mentioned that eight abortions were done in a two hour period with gruesome efficiency. Official reports do not indicate any high incidence of death or complication but the reason appears to be that the patient was discharged very soon after the operation and any problems would be seen by local hospitals and not at the abortoria.

Doctors in the Soviet Union took a dim view of the numbers of abortions that were being performed and the manner of execution and attempted to advise women against interruption of pregnancy. The medical literature warned against the physical and emotional complications. In addition, there were social, economic and political situations which appeared to cry for change in official abortion policy. There seemed to be an impending danger of conflict with Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union would have to increase its population, which had suffered from fifteen years of abortion on request.

Doctor Muller points out: "The great Soviet Experiment of free abortion, which continued for eight years after the revolution, still affords us the best evidence of physical injury following the operation." Doctor Joseph DeLee, the former medical director of the University of Chicago Lying-In, reports the morbidity of that experience as follows: "Russia, which has legalized abortion has completely reversed its position under the accumulated bad experience with 140,000 such operations a year. The authorities call the practice a serious psychic, moral and social evil and inherently dangerous even when performed lege artis. They found trauma-uterine perforation, cervical laceration and stenosis, parametritis, etc. - ectopic pregnancy and biological trauma - amenorrhea, sterility, endocrinopathies. Subsequent labor was more often pathologic: placenta praevia, atonia uteri, adherent placenta, postpartum hemorrhage and postpartum fever."5 This report is so reminiscent of the results of the Japanese experience.

In any event, on June 27, 1936, a new decree was issued, which prohibited abortion except for determined medical or eugenic considerations — for fetal deformity or medical-maternal indications.

On November 23, 195 the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet repealed the 1936 decree an since that date there has been an policy of legal abortion on recesst or demand. Reasons for the cha e are hard to come by as is all info ation in the Soviet Union. There is culation that there was cons rable pressure brought to bear government by the populac who wished a limitation of family ze in order to enjoy the material co forts of life.

The abortion rate in the oviet Union is believed to be the highest in the world. The estimates of the numbers of abortions vary from illion to six million a year and it appears that, once again, the analysis are becoming concerned. The eare reports that doctors in the Union refuse to abort unless and already has at least two children and unless there are unusual reass to interrupt the pregnancy, e.g., overcrowding etc.

It is interesting to note that bortions are performed three times as frequently on working wives as compared to non-working wives an are requested more often by really presented for seeking abortion are lack of housing and child care facilities and unwillingness to bear a child a the present time.

It is reported that the incidence of abortion among female undergraduate students at Moscow State University is alarmingly high—ranging from 40 percent up to 80 percent. Abortions at the University clinic cost about one dollar each.

There is no pressure by the government on the citizens to pursue a program of contraception in order to prevent births or limit population. Thus, it is said that the officials will be satisfied to have abortion serve as the vehicle of birth prevention or population control.

As would be expected, there are no official or reliable statistics for legal abortions in the Soviet Union.

b) Hungary:

In 1952 and in 1953, serious efforts were made to enforce the current laws against criminal abortion and this did lead to an increase in births in 1953 and in 1954. It is curious that, in spite of all of the abortions that are performed in Hungary, the country does pursue a policy of encouraging birth as evidenced by the fact of offering family allowances for the third and all subsequent children.

There were 195,600 live births recorded in 1950. This was followed by a substantial decrease in the next two years with 185,800 live births registered in 1952. There was an increase to 223,300 in 1954 and then a steady decline in birth's until 1962 when only 130,100 babies were born. This last figure represented a rate of 12.9 per 1,000 population. In 1965, 133,000 births were recorded; a rate of 13.1 per 1,000 population — very low in relation to the births of other countries and continents.

In the early 1950's, medical boards were established for the purpose of granting permission for therapeutic abortions. With a very liberal policy, the numbers of abortions increased very rapidly. On June 3, 1956, Hungary established a policy of abortion on request or demand.

From 1950 through 1952 - before medical boards were constituted there were 1,700 legal abortions reported each year. This was a rate of 0.2 abortions per 1,000 population. After the medical boards were established, the number of legal abortions rose very markedly from 2.800 in 1953 to 16,300 in 1954. This latter figure represented a rate of 1.7 legal abortions per 1,000 population. After the policy of abortion on request became operative in June, 1956, the number of legal abortions in the year 1957 was 123,400 as compared to 82,000 legal abortions in 1956.

The number of legal interruptions of pregnancy was continuing to increase each year as the number of live births was continuing to decrease. In 1959, the number of legal abortions about equalled the number of live births—there being 152,400 legal abortions and 151,200 live births.

The increase in legal abortions persisted until 1964. In that year, there were 184,400 legal abortions or a rate of 18.2 abortions per 1,000 population. In the same year, there were 132,100 live births recorded. Thus, the ratio of legal abortions to live births was 140 to 100 or 7 legal abortions for every 5 births.

In 1965, there was a slight increase in live births – 133,000 – and a small decline in legal abortions – 180,300. This represents 1,356 legal abortions per 1,000 live births. In this same year, the birth rate was 13.1 per 100,000 population as contrasted with the legal abortion rate of 17.8 per 100,000 population. More life was being extinguished than was allowed to be born!

If the 17.8 abortions per 1,000 population in Hungary in 1965 were to be applied to the 195,000,000 population of the United States in that same year and we had a policy of legal abortion on request we would have had approximately 3,471,000 legal abortions that year. Can we afford such tremendous loss of life? Would we want a reputation for such destruction of life?

There is another dimension to this abortion problem in Hungary and in other countries with a very liberal policy and that refers to the category, which is called "other abortions" and this includes hospital admissions of women who have not had legal abortions but who suffered spontaneous miscarriages or who were admitted because of medical complications following an illegal abortion.

These statistics will more than likely include less and less spontaneous abortions as time goes on because many of the women, who would normally miscarry, will have already submitted to a legal abortion before the miscarriage would occur. Therefore, even though the numbers and rates of "other" abortions seem to be decreasing, this total would reflect merely less spontaneous miscarriages and more illegal abortions.

Medical boards do exist in Hungary but they function only as a formality; they do not make decisions or grant authorizations because every abortion that is requested must be performed. The policy in Eastern Europe is to prohibit the interruption of pregnancy beyond the completion of three months, unless there are medical indications, and also to prohibit an abortion if the patient has already had an induced abortion within the pre-

192

vious six months. In Hunga , all abortions must be performed in a hospital where a patient rema s for two or three days and, if ne is employed, she receives a period sick leave, in addition.

In 1964, less than four pere at of abortions were performed because of illness; the remainder were do : for social or family reasons. Abou onethird of the women interrupted their pregnancies because they alread had sufficient number of children.

Between 1960 and 1964, th centage of women undergoing their third or higher abortion inc ased from 25.5 percent to 31.4 perce t. In the same period the percent: e of those having their fifth or abortion increased from 5.2 perc at to 7.5 percent. It would appear that women, who begin by controlling their fertility by using abortion, retun to the same means again and again.

During the years 1960 and 964, there was a marked increase i the number of childless women and women with one child requiting abortion. In these four years, here was 64 percent increase in the number of childless women who submit d to abortion and the rate per 1,000 was almost as high among women wit one child as among women with two children.

About 7,000 unmarried w men under the age of twenty year interrupted a pregnancy in 1964.

A liberal abortion policy is not without its liabilities as regard the health and welfare of the women patients. Permanent impairmen of health has been reported ar long thousands of Hungarian women, who have interrupted pregnancies. Medical

authorities have noted with great concern and alarm the rise in premature births and spontaneous abortions and the increased problem of sterility among the women and mental retardation in the children born to mothers who had been previously aborted. It was reported that the numbers of premature births almost doubled and more than half the mentally retarded children had been born prematurely. One out of every three women who became sterile had previously had an abortion.

Andras Klinger of the Hungarian Central Office of Statistics has concluded that the incidence of premature birth increases with the number of pregnancy interruptions, which the prospective mother has had. A 1964 study of the relationship of abortion to prematurity demonstrates that there is a 10 percent incidence among women who have never been aborted; 14 percent among those who have had one abortion; 16 percent for those with two abortions and 21 percent for those with three or more abortions.

This same official notes the obvious fact that the prematurity of birth will have its own impact on infant mortality and on the mental health and physical development of the child, if it survives. He states that abortion cannot be considered a proper means of birth control and the disastrous effect of interruption of pregnancy on the health of the mother or future children is more than a sufficient reason to change the liberal policy of abortion on request.

In 1964, for every 1,000 women who requested the interruption of a pregnancy, 1.3 experienced a perforation of the uterus; 8.5 suffered feverish conditions and 16.4 suffered from hemorrhage.

August, 1969

Leading intellectuals in Hungary, authors, magazine and newspaper editors have noted with great concern the dangers to the nation of a liberal abortion policy and decried the antichild attitude and mentality which the country now experiences. The one child family has arrived. The average number of children in Hungary is presently .86 per married couple.

One noted Hungarian writer declares that abortion entails not merely the destruction of the child but also results in destruction of the mother and her nation.

A Communist writer, who originally favored a liberal policy concerning abortion, evaluated in 1964 the liberalization law of 1956 in these words: "I would not have believed that, scarcely eight years, we would be looking at this freedom with doubt, struggling with the monstrous possibility of the extinction of our nation.... The fact is that the Hungarian nation is growing weaker. An unexpected world crisis, an unknown epidemic may come when strong peoples will survive, while smaller and weaker ones will fall. And then our history and our literature will be but a memory, stuff for scholars."

With a high incidence of abortion and a low birth rate over the past twelve years, Hungary faces problems in business and industry in the decades ahead and a smaller and smaller group of earning young people will have the responsibility of supporting an ever increasing number of aged people.

c) Czechoslovakia:

In 1953, there were 1,500 registered legal abortions (0.1 abortions per 1,000 population) and 29,100 "other" abortions (2.3 ratio of abortions per 1,000 population) for a total abortion figure of 30,600 or 2.4 abortions per 1,000 population. These totals are to be compared with 271,700 live births recorded in that same year.

The number of live births declined steadily from 1953 to 1960 when 217,300 live births were registered. There was an increase of live births in 1963 to 236,000 and another rise in 1964 to 241,300 and a decline of 10,000 live births in 1965.

As the live births were decreasing from 1953, the number of legal abortions was increasing from 1,500 in that year to 7,300 in 1957. At this time, the number of "other" abortions rose from 29,100 in 1953 to 33,000 in 1955 and declined to 30,200 in 1957.

In 1957, there was a total of 37,500 of all abortions, representing 2.8 abortions per 1,000 population as contrasted with 252,700 live births.

There was a two year period of discussion before legal abortions for non-medical reasons were allowed. In December, 1957, the liberal law was enacted in Czechoslovakia. This statute permitted legal abortions for the following reasons: advanced age, a large number of children, death or disability of the husband, disruption of the family, economic responsibility of the woman for the support of the family or the child, a difficult situation resulting from the pregnancy of an unmarried woman. In 1962, the law was amended so that abortions could not be performed for reasons of multiparity unless and until the woman has already had three children. Czechoslovakia provides a family allowance for the third and subsequent children.

The medical board that authorizes abortions consists of physicial and social service workers. Czechos has the same requirements as How with reference to abortions place in hospitals, an in-patient stay of two or three days and a sicle eave absence for working women.

In the first year after the statute was enacted, the num r of legal abortions increased drama from 7,300 to 61,400; the num r of "other" abortions decreased i this one year period from 30,21 to 27,700. The total abortions in were 89,100 or 6.7 abortion per 1,000 population and this figures to be compared, to 235,000 live bir is in the same year.

The number of legal abortion rose steadily until 1961 when the hand of 94,300 or 6.8 abortions per population was registered. During this same year, there were 26,000 "c abortions or 1.9 abortions per population for a total numb abortions of 120,300 or 8.7 abortions per 1,000 live births as compared to 218,400 live births.

The number of legal abo ions decreased from 1961 to 1964 then 70,700 legal abortions or 5 abo ions per 1,000 population were performed. During this same period, the "o ner" type of abortions increased to 2 400 in 1963 and declined to 26,2) in 1965.

In 1965, there were 79,600 legal abortions or 5.6 legal abortion per 1,000 population or 344 legal abortions per 1,000 live births and 26,200 "other" abortions or 1.8 abortions per 1,000 population or a total of all abortions of 105,800 or 7.4

abortions per 1,000 population. This total is to be related to 231,600 live births.

If the ratio of legal abortions to population in Czechoslovakia in 1965 were to be applied to the population of 195,000,000 in the United States in that same year, the number of legal abortions would have amounted to 1,092,000 on the assumption that the law in both countries was identical.

As compared to Hungary, the live births in Czechoslovakia during the period of 1950 to 1965 did not decrease as rapidly or as much. While there was a marked increase in the number of legal abortions in Czechoslovakia during this period, the numbers would not begin to compare with those of Hungary; strangely enough, the numbers of "other" abortions, while less in Czechoslovakia, were not that much below the figures of Hungary. Finally, the number of abortions never exceeded the number of live births in Czechoslovakia:

d) Poland:

We have already mentioned that Poland was probably the easiest country in which to have an abortion as witness the number of women who traveled from Sweden to have an abortion that would not be allowed or authorized in their own country.

In Poland, a law was passed in 1956 which indicated that an abortion would be permitted if a physician could determine that a "difficult social situation" existed. However, since 1960, an oral statement by the woman herself to the effect that she was experiencing such a situation sufficed for the permission, which was granted by a physician without any investigation or determination of the validity

of the reason by him. Many legal abortions are performed in Poland on ambulatory patients.

The number of legal abortions rose from 1,400 in 1955 which was a ratio of 0.1 abortions per 1,000 population to 143,800 or 4.9 abortions per 1,000 population in 1961. This latter figure if applied to the population of 183,000,000 in the United States in that year would have resulted in 896,300 legal abortions — presuming that we had the same type of law as did Poland.

e) Rumania:

In October, 1966, Rumania repealed its 1957 liberal abortion law and the preamble of the new statute gives the apparent reason for the change: "great prejudice to the birth rate and the rate of natural increase" that had resulted from a liberal abortion law. By 1965, the birth rate in Rumania had dropped to 14.6 per 1,000 population and this represented the second lowest birth rate in Europe.

In summary conclusion, the legal abortion rate, related to live births, in Eastern Europe ranges from 30 percent to 140 percent. The death rate from legal abortions is one in 25,000 cases as compared to the rate in Northern Europe of one in 2,500 cases. Apparently, in Eastern Europe, the better results are due to the fact that legal abortions must be done sooner in the pregnancy - not beyond the completion of the third month; that the women are in better health; the vacuum method of extraction is more commonly used and no anaesthesia is required.

As to what effect a very liberal law on abortion has had on illegal abortions, Doctor Christopher Tietze says: "There can be no doubt that the number of illegal abortions has been dramatically reduced, but they have not entirely disappeared even countries such as Hungary, where abortion is available on request. It has been suggested that this stubborn survival of illegal abortion is associated with the relative lack of privacy of the official procedure."

The present study has presented the experience of Japan and the European countries in abortion, ranging from the use of abortion as a means of limiting population and including the conservative policies of western and southern Europe, through the liberal laws of northern Europe concluding with the most liberal provisions in eastern Europe. There is every reason to believe that the experience of Japan and the European countries would be at least similar in the United States if the same type of laws were enacted.

FOOTNOTES

1. Russell B. Shaw, Abortion and Public Policy and Abortion on Trial; Andre E. Hellegers, A Doctor looks at Abortion; Christopher Tietze, M.D., Statistics of Induced Abortion, International Symposium on Abortion, Washington, D.C., September, 1967; and Abortion in Europe, American Journal of Public

Health, Vol. 57, Number 11, No. 1967, pp. 1923 - 1932; The Lawyer, Vol. 10, Number 2, 1964 - pp. 168 - 169; Legal Abe Denmark, Catholic Medical Q terly. Vol. 4, Number 3, (April, 1951). . 85 -86; Robert M. Byrn, The Ouestion: a Non-Sectarian Al The Catholic Lawyer, Vol 11, No. Autumn, 1965, p. 321; Kindregan, Eugenic Abortion Law (private manuscript); The Choice: The Abortion Dilemma, Books, March 15, 1968, pp. William N. Bergin, M.D., Som. Going to Get Killed, Hawaii Journal, Vol. 26, Number 2, No. December, 1966; Norman St. Stevas, The English Experience, A December 9, 1967; Jan-Otto O International Symposium on Al Washington, D.C. September, Frederiksen and Brackett, Demo aphic Effects of Abortion, Public Reports, Vol. 83, No. 12, Dec 1968, pp. 999 et seq.; Aborti Painful Lesson for Britain, Time, 7, 1969; The Catholic Lawyer, V Number 4, Autumn, 1968, pp. 35 Mrs. Jill Knight, M.P., A Repor Great Britain, Fourth Intern Symposium on Life, Rhythm, Ab Chicago, Illinois, April 10-12, 196

mber.

tholic

pring.

on in

rtion

oach.

ber 4.

arles

1 the

rible

ntam

3-59:

ody's

dical

nber/

ohn-

rica.

sson.

tion,

967;

ealth

nber.

: A

arch

. 14.

337;

from

onal

tion.

- 2. Boston Sunday Globe, June 2, 196
- 3. Newsletter National Right to Life Committee - No. 2, November, 19 8
- 4. Boston Globe, June 4, 1966
- 5. Ratner, A Public Health Physician Tews No. Abortion, Child and Family, Vol. 1 - Winter, 1968